



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,945	12/03/2001	Jia Hong Yin	20-973 6519	
7590 07/15/2004			EXAMINER	
William H Bollman			VO, TUNG T	
Manelli Denison & Selter 2000 M Street NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	8
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/914,945	YIN, JIA HONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tung T. Vo	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
,—	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·-					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.7.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/914,945 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/13/02 and 12/02/02 has been considered.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,945

Art Unit: 2613

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 4-5, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pomerleau (US 5,091,780).

Re claims 1, 5, and 8, Pomerleau discloses a Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Camera and System including a plurality of CCTV cameras (14 of fig. 2) and a communication channels from each of cameras (fig. 3, the connection is between the stations 46 and the image comparator 38 in the figure 3) to at least one monitor (50, 52, and 54 of fig. 3, note the local alarm, guard alert, and police alarm comprises at least a monitor for displaying a difference image), wherein the system further comprises a camera (14 of fig. 2) to obtain information indicative of displacement of an object in the camera's field of view, a processing means (38 of fig. 2) for determining said information (a current image compared to a previous image to exceed a threshold, see col. 5, lines 3-18), wherein the threshold is exceeded an a signal is generated the alarm signal (override signal) switches a monitor as considered one of the local alarm, guard alert, and police alarm (50, 52, and 54 of fig. 3) to receive from said cameras, wherein means for transmitting at least a portion (a difference image) of said moving image to a remote location (50, 52, 54 of fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,945

Art Unit: 2613

Re claim 4, Pomerleau further discloses at least one motion sensor (16 of fig. 3) is included so that images are transmitted only if movement is detected (col. 4, lines 3-8).

Re claim 7, Pomerleau further discloses artificial intelligence in the form of a neural network (20 of fig. 1, see also col. 5, lines 50-62).

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohiwa (US 5,699,113).

Re claims 1 and 3, Ohiwa discloses a camera (201 of fig. 4) has an actuator (204 of fig. 4) arranged to tile, pan or zoom; wherein the camera further comprises means (202 of fig. 4) for determining whether information detected in its field of view includes a moving object; processing means (13 of fig. 5, note when an input image includes, e.g., a scene change, and the amount of the change exceeds the threshold value till which motion compensation is possible) for determining whether said moving object exceeds a predetermined threshold; and means (6, 7, 8 of fig. 5) for transmitting at least a portion of said moving image (wherein the difference image is encoded and transmitted) to a remote location (line 1253 of fig. 4).

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sivan (US 6,160,848)

Re claim 1, Sivan discloses a camera comprises means (22 of fig. 1) for determining

whether information detected in its field of view includes a moving object; processing means (32 of fig. 1, see also fig. 2) for determining whether said moving object exceeds a predetermined threshold; and means (30 of fig. 1) for transmitting at least a portion of said moving image (wherein the difference image is encoded and transmitted) to a remote location.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,945 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohiwa (US 5,699,113) in view of Bist et al. (US 6,721,359).

Re claim 2, Ohiwa teaches the portion of the image is transmitted over the transmission line (1253 of fig. 4) but Ohiwa does not particularly teach the transmission line is a radio frequency transmitter as claimed. However, Bist teaches an encoded portion image is transmitted over a wireless or radio frequency transmission channel (18 of fig. 1, col. 1, lines 31-35). Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Ohiwa and Bist as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the to incorporate the radio frequency transmission channel (18 of fig. 1) of Bist into the transmitting means (1253 of fig. 4) of Ohiwa for the same purpose of transmitting the portion of the image from one location to another faster without loss any information. Doing so would allow the user to easily receive the portion of the image where area has the service.

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pomerleau (US 5,091,780) in view of Bist et al. (US 6,721,359).

Re claim 2, Pomerleau teaches the portion of the image is transmitted over the neural network (20 of fig. 1) but Pomerleau does not particularly teach the transmission line is a radio

Art Unit: 2613

frequency transmitter as claimed. However, Bist teaches an encoded portion image is transmitted over a wireless or radio frequency transmission channel (18 of fig. 1, col. 1, lines 31-35). Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Pomerleau and Bist as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the to incorporate the radio frequency transmission channel (18 of fig. 1) of Bist into the neural network (20 of fig. 1) Pomerleau for the same purpose of transmitting the portion of the image from one location to another faster without loss any information. Doing so would allow the user to easily receive the portion of the image where area has the service.

10. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pomerleau (US 5,091,780) in view of Ohiwa (US 5,699,113).

Re claims 3 and 6, Pomerleau further teaches a microprocessor (18 of fig. 1) arranged to discriminate between static and moving or moving portions of an image (24 and 26 of fig. 2) but Pomerleau does not particularly teach an actuator and a charge couple device (CCD) of the camera as claimed.

However, Ohiwa teaches an actuator (204 of fig. 4) and a charge couple device (CCD, 201 of fig. 4) of the camera. Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Pomerleau and Ohiwa as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the actuator and CCD (204 and 201 of fig. 4, respectively) of Ohiwa into the CCTV system of Pomerleau for controlling directions of the camera to take a point of interest. Doing so would allow the system to easily adjust the compression ratio to obtain a high resolution image and the point of interest can be observe in detail as suggested by Ohiwa (col. 1, lines 10-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,945

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bortolussi et al. (US 6,292,575) discloses a real-time facial recognition and verification.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung T. Vo whose telephone number is (703) 308-5874. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris. Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tung T. Vo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613

T.VO